

January 23, 2020

Met at the Hotel. Arranged trip to Fellowship Development in Saint George. WB members in attendance. Gave a World report, report on PR and H&I with discussion on fellowship development in Nenana. Stayed for over three hours. Their fellowship was having issues with PR & H&I discussions and we were able to provide information and had a question and answer session. It was a beautiful moment to bring help to an underserved meeting and area. Got back at 11:30pm.

January 24, 2020

California Collaboration

I was asked to start the meeting. Art came in and took over after roll call.

Art: No agenda was set up. There's been no communication since the last WSZF. Straw poll to see where this work group is at. He stated he sent an email with a map. Only two people responded. Asked what we want to do.

Eric: We did not elect a facilitator. Are we a valid committee and asked about a facilitator to guide us forward.

Don: We haven't done shit in six years. Need to discuss what we're doing. No planning. We need an open forum.

Participants:

Dave San Diego Imperial

Vince RD Sierra Sage

Mo RD AZ

Mike RD CIRNA

Steve AD CIRNA

Tom Rio Grande Visiting

Don RD San Diego

Christine RD SoCal

Jade AD SoCal

Dennis RDA Central California

Steven AZ WSZF Workgroup Fellowship Development

Dean RDA CMSRNA

Eric RD NorCal

Art SoCal CA Collaboration

Cheryl RDA Sierra Sage

Michael RDA NorCal

Eileen RDA San Diego

(Russ and John RD /RDA Colorado we invited and agree they should participate)

Art: Discussion map on CA set up website for meetings, for members, H&I, professional Etc. For best practices. He stated he was elected to facilitate.

Dave: Two WSLD ago we had a zonal H&I meeting. Not a lot of people showed up. Was a good meeting.

Don: First meeting NA service, the SSP. Unapproved part; three major province that had multiple regions. Insurance, collaboration convention committees, website, and calendar. A few more people discussed.

Art is looking for the map. And, he's giving it to Mike to send the map out.

Open Forum:

Don: We need structure. IT stuff. All is available to the zone. 9 out of 13 Regions. We didn't want to break up zones. We could add this to our WSZF and use Zoom and meet quarterly. Each member region can discuss. We made the decision we were not going to follow Brazil and Russia and set up a separate structure and keep with within WSZF. We struggle with resources. Intermediate body re: SSP. People reject it. People wonder what the zone is about.

Dean: If CA Collaboration is not moving forward CMSR will step out.

Dave: Having a zone meeting and having the zone paying for this is weird. Why are we doing this twice. And, we're cutting into others contributions. Asking if we can use this forum. Main point is we do things. Is will to take PR on the website to invite the CA Collaboration folks to have the ability to share problems and solutions. Need to do that with H&I too.

Michael: If we started voting as a block in the zonal forum things will get skewed. The goal is a model for what all regions in the zone can benefit from the work we do here.

Christine: Geographically we are the western states, believes the resources new technology will benefit us.

Ami: Regional Chair of Sierra Sage. Why is this not a zonal collaboration. We can develop a way to connect everyone. Go back to our regions and what services we can bring and connect with subcommittees.

Art: No one has picked up the ball and ran with it. Things are not being done.

Eric: Six years since we started. Tail wagging the dog. We are not talking about what we are doing. We had no way to communicate with professionals and etc so this became the CA Collaboration. Do we have a need then we need to discuss it. Why are we here? What's the need, what do we have, use of technology, all sorts of ways to help CA regions.

Steve: CIRNA. Expanding; different legislation in CA.

Tom: Rio Grande region. They have same problems as CA and would like the map.

Eileen: Don't need to go over structure. Can use one of our facilitators.

Art: on facilitators – we are not the zone. We can go to the zone, but the regions that are not part of the zone may not be open to that. Working group is task based. CA is exclusionary.

Dave: Idea was to get CA together that were not getting done in regions. And help CA. Focus on this body is CA. 9 regions dealing with same things. H&I is a topic of helping and to share information with each other and do some service. Share information.

Steven: AZ Fellowship development. Reiterate elect a point person and get people involved. Develop a mission and a vision. Two – three-year position.

Vince: His Region Multi-state region. Do see need. CA has laws, legal, and BS compared to other states. Need some help with how to deal with it. His region is not going funnel money to a CA collaboration. Ask forum if they mind if we use facilitators. We are always a cooperation but not a part of the zone. Wants to see some action. We need this done. Move forward! We have a PR person ready to volunteer.

Mike: We are rehashing. We need documentation.

Art: Do we want to #1) Do we want to keep going forward. Straw poll 11 yes, 1 no, 1 abstention.

Vote #1 Present to Zone for the non-participating regions would vote yes or no to use the facilitator.
Discussion on this. Vote 11 yes, vote no 1

Vote #2 Elect the PR Dave T is willing to volunteer to head up that portion of CA Collaboration to coordinate and work with the CA regions PR Chairs and committees.
Discussion on this. Vote 12 yes, vote no 0 Dave has been elected.

Vote #3 Ask the non-CA Collaboration zone members to allow CA collaboration to use Zoom and Loomio.
Discussion on this. Vote Yes 11, no 0, abstention 1.

Christine: Agenda items to look at proposed guidelines. We would need to add to the guidelines. The intent wasn't just PI.

Discussion on everything on focus and sharing with the zone. Zone may will want to discuss as well. We haven't put it forward to them yet. Art wants to be an alternate facilitator. Doesn't need to be funded.

Vote #4 Motion: Art A will be a volunteer to the CA collaboration as assistant facilitator for a period of one year. Be addressed at the next zonal forum. Vote 9 yes, 0 no, abstentions 0.

Can we use zoom and loomio. But will see to set up meetings quarterly or every three months.

5-minute discussion after the breakfast on Sunday.

Close 10:49am.

WSZF

Introductions.

Laura Facilitator, Dave Co-Facilitator

Any objection to recording and putting it in archives. Via the secretary. Mike C will be taking pictures and videos for the WSC. How to handle the records. Art: Redact particular information. Eric: Redact all private information. Laura will redact them and add them to the Loomio site. Dave: Alt Fac. National PI. No decisions being made.

11:00-1:00 Regional Reports:

Alaska – Mandy. 2019 very active delegate team first time offered IDT's. SPAD workshop, three CAR workshops, and more. Members concerned about how long it took Alaska to get on the website.

Arizona – Mo. 230 groups. 405 meetings. New AD. Growing. Sponsorship behind the walls. Police department connection. New convention upper region. Our website is archaic.

California Inland-Mike. Workshops to dialogue with their area. Six SPAD workshops and six CAR workshops. Tightened bonds within their region. Lost an area to five. Two areas split rejoined last year. 213 meetings. Outreach committee gaining speed. Capture the banner. It's been all over the state.

California Mid State-Julie. Increase in meetings, slowly. Switching with IRS. RD team has been facilitating a meeting for an area and helping regarding illicit literature.

Central California-Mike. Gave report.

Northern California-Eric. Region is about the same size, have geographic shifts. 916 was wiped out due to the fire. Last years NCNNA did not make a profit, and they depend on those funds. This year is not the same. Fellowship team meets nine times a year. Using a lot of technology to mitigate driving. Had a meeting with the RCM's to bring questions for the zone. Count votes individually – online tally or groups tally at CAR workshops. Long term goals. BMLT folks did an awesome presentation at WSLD and Eric took that to their region.

Pacific Cascade-Doug. Funded 22 people to WSLD. BMLT consideration. 200+ weekly meetings, and two CAR workshops. Setting up Zoom and accounting software to help the members.

Region 51-Tina. Texting service is well received – mozio, just changed to texidly. CAR workshops already. Hosting 10th regional convention.

Rio Grande-Robert (Big River). Website concerned. Really sad to report his region voted not to fund this body due to the website. Need to find a solution with our website. Regional convention is in May and has a Spanish tract. Connection to website to register for the event in Albuquerque. 20 years has no PR, this last year stepped up to PR position. Over 14 presentations by the PR person. Tom K introduction as possible RD in the future. Video meetings in facilities. Tom: picked up idea from Australia video conferencing from a distance and presented to H&I. Can hit 15-20 prisons or pods at once.

San Diego Imperial-Don. 9 areas. One is an umbrella area Spanish speaking. 400 meeting a week. Held 35th annual convention on a new date Dec 22nd-24th was competing with NCCNA. Was close to Christmas but really marketed. Showed a profit. Hotel was happy with them being there, and met the room block. Means food and beverage as well as room block. Bid for Circle of Sisters.

Sierra Sage-Sheryl. She read the report. She was nervous. How cute! Will put the report on Loomio.

SoCal-Christine. 21 areas in their region. 824 groups. They have a cruise. LGBTQ friendly meeting directory is being developed. Yap BMLT is fantastic. Sponsorship behind the walls. Giving out patches for sponsors.

Washington Northern Idaho—Theo. Big geographically. 460 miles. 871 meetings. Hosted WSLD 2019.

End Reports.

Laura – discussing some new business coming up and question if we have anything else to add. CA Collaboration wants to be added as we have motions to the zone. Add to new business World board members.

Discussion on voting at CAR workshops. Discussion on why some regions don't do CAT workshops because the members won't understand it and it too much and they lose members at the CAR workshops. I find this information insufficient. I believe our members should have all information on the

CAR and CAT in order to have a full understanding of what is happening in all aspects of NA. But, that's just me! Not everyone agrees with my thinking and that's okay, that makes us completely unique.

2:00-6:00 CAR workshop WB and NAWS (starts at 2:21)

Motion 1: To approve the NAWS Long-Term Goals, which serve as the foundation of the NA World Services Strategic Plan.

Paul & Hammed

(I love Tina's explanation of the two funnels; NAWS and Members. NAWS has already done this for years, and it's been in the CAT, now it's being pulled down the funnel into the CAR).

Tom: Questions came up. If we approve it is it a blanket approval? Hammed: Blanket approval.

Laura brought up that this is for the delegates and not the members visiting.

Christine: #2 – would make WB members ambassadors where we are. #3-could be seen as an over step. Not prudent to discuss funds not available. #8-we all ready have common welfare. Michael: Is it going to change the way we are doing business in the future. How is this going to impact the fellowship. Hammed: This is for the organization itself by adding transparency. Eric: Is there a plan for regions bringing back request for changing this. 72 amendments for change as an example. Paul: The conference will decide. Mandy: How do we get this back from our groups in time. Tina: explained the funnel. Mike: concerns we get strategic plans from NAWS (corp planning) that some of these items sound like NAWS is in a position of governance. Because we're voting on the CAR now establishing and will come back to the fellowships. Hommod: The WSC tells the board what to do. Dean: This can be cherry picked and is broad is some of the issues that was discussed. WB says that won't happen because of the CAT. But most of the fellowships don't even discuss the CAT how can this work? Is my opinion. Don: Doesn't fit with primary purpose or traditions from a member. Amendments – how is this going to work on the floor? This is us too, he personally doesn't have any problem with this. We are extensions and there hasn't been a lot of trust. Lillian: Doesn't understand you against us with NAWS. Dave: sees long term goals, some we will never achieve but this is going forward. We do this already. These just haven't been written before. This is the whole fellowship. We work collaboratively to achieve NA goals. Eric: believes this is a goal for us. Christine: the mistrust is that gives NAWS power beyond our control. We've had experiences that have implications as the fellowship as a whole.

Motion 2: To approve the IP contained in Addendum A, "Mental Health in Recovery," as Fellowship-approved recovery literature.

Only one response. Stephen: Glad this came out as it gave him insight.

Motion 3: To approve the revisions to the FIPT Operational Rules contained in Addendum B.

Eric: Cost of FIPT? Disagreement on this issue can we put it on the floor. Parts of it that are agreed or not agreed amendments will be reconciled on the floor? Christine: asked to go back to fellowships. Read the FIPT about how we are supposed to do this. In 2018 we never stated or asked the World Board to make a workgroup to make changes to the FIPT. Her region is not approving this at this time because it's not

the way it is supposed to be. Lilliana: Who paid for the audit – South Florida Region. Mike: the cost was a burden for that fellowship. Dave: the inspection was a lot less than what was asked for. Would have cost a lot more money. Mutual agreement with South Florida and was less expensive. John (Colorado): did we have the zone speak about this? No question we need to do maintenance but this is multifaceted and needs to be broken down. WB: Agrees. Eric: Could be out of order via a legal issue. The fellowship is the trustor. A trustee cannot modify this. It should be a legal question. Art: explained his position being on the workgroup. Dean: Lawyer saying it was okay, I want to see it. Cost of inspection, I'll go down there and give them the amount. Many in my region think this is BS. Christine: This motion should have come from a region not the WB. In 2018 this was not discussed to create a workgroup and have world make a decision. Lilliana: Said we talked about it. Christine: the fellowship wanted to talk about it. Eric: Rationale – WSC voted for changes, and that we authorized a workgroup. And bring back changes. The workshop is actually the WSC is doing this change. Not the world board.

Motion 4: To approve the revisions to Intellectual Property Bulletin #1 contained in Addendum C.

Mike: Confirmation WB was saying in the CAR that they don't have authority to reprint. Discussion on legal aspect via World suing people. WB not going to sue people for prior literature. Dean: some people are in favor that have reached out to me, and wanted to clarify that they want to put this in the FIPT. WB if people reprint our current literature, they send letters. Russ: what is illicit literature. WB: any literature that breaks the terms of the copywrite. Russ: going to stop creating blues or greys, but are going to print 5th editions. WB: NA literature is copywritten. Julie: Does the copywrite to prior editions. WB: Yes, it's copywritten. Mike: changing from greys to blues to fifth edition. WB: it's all illegal to reproduce without permission!

Motion 5: To approve the initiation of the established process to revise the FIPT in the 2020-2022 Conference Cycle.

Theo: Questioned #3 in regards to delegates. Eric: Zonal delegates vs regional delegates. This would include zonal delegates the opportunity to be involved. Stephen: Process for region at the conference. Sometimes the regions are not denied representation. Then the zones would have representations. Why would you do that? WB: we have to see how this works with zones and the conference will decide. CAT will explain some of this stuff. Don: Language change. Voting delegates should be the right way to write it. WB: The FIPT has particular language so has to match.

Motion 6: To direct the WB to create a project plan for WSC 2022 to create a new Step Working Guide for members whom have worked through the previous one and would like to evolve in their recovery.

Dean: why make another flat book? WB: told me to ask the Norway Region. Eric: these motions over and over and over again. WB: Please write to the WB about this when there's other avenues.

Motion 7: To direct the World Board to develop a project plan, for consideration at WSC-2022, to create a booklet of Step study questions with the parameter that each question is derived from one sentence in the Basic Text chapter How it Works.

Christine: this has been asked about. WB: this goes back and forth. Then ends up at the conference for literature approval. The motion 2018 failed. Don: Work two more years to approve their studies in Latin

communities. Will help members. WB: stumbling block in regards to who literature is developed. A region can produce their own literature that's not NA approved. John: says this is different than 2018.

Motion 8: To direct the World Board to create a project plan for the World Service Conference (WSC) 20200, the development of a new IP for daily personal inventory of gratitude.

No real discussion.

Motion 9: To direct the World Board to create a project plan for WSC 2022 to create a new IP for women in recovery.

Lilliana: What is true for us here is different than in Iran. We don't feel it's appropriate to put our values on them. So, could the world help them to develop their own in their area. WB: Is generic for some and not others. Julie: Can't identify with a woman over in Iran. It's not the same for us. Wouldn't be an across the board IP. Tina: is that something that can be done? Can something be done to be specific to someone and fellowship approved. WB: can't do it separately. Discussion about women and recovery. Moved on.

Motion 10: To Direct the World Board to create a project plan for consideration at WSC 2022 to create a guide for online meetings.

Stephen: is this the zoom meeting? WB: from the Latin region. Tina: this was a topic last WSC. WB: A project plan has not been created. Tina: It is such a long way out before anything can happen. And it's a hot topic as we're having them now. WB: this is the process. Mandy: is this going to be a part of the service structure? John: Shouldn't we wait a few years? Maybe with a pamphlet via social media. It could be outdated quickly. WB: goes into the toolbox. Lilliana: would be in this cycle not next. WB: project plan takes money. More discussion without completion to everyone's ideologies.

Motion 11: To direct the World Board to create a project plan for WSC 2022 for a service pamphlet (SP) that explains "being under no surveillance at any time."

Mike: we can't say anything about that. NA can't say that. Don: would they make a bulletin? WB: he wasn't sure.

Motion 12: To direct the World Board to create the Spanish-language NA Basic Text, Step Working Guide, and It Works How and Why available in audio format.

Christine: Switching the cassettes onto CD's. WB: worth trying. Some discussion. Lilliana: why can't we come up with the date? Why can't they put in dates? It's been four years. WB: technical issues. They have audio versions and cassette tapes. Three a year is distributed. Eric: is it already getting on with it? WB: if it becomes a higher priority. Eric: WB doesn't want to do that, because they're doing it in all languages, so leave us be. WB: either way they'll be done. Michael: How does this affect the FIPT. WB: keeps them from doing it themselves. Can't copywrite. Julie: Other than English what is the most widely used. WB: two Spanish and Farcie are done. Julie: how many languages outside of English do we have reproduced in audio. WB: In the back of the CAR.

Motion 13: To direct the World Board to create a project plan for consideration at the WSC 2022 to investigate changes and/or additional wording to NA literature from gender specific language to gender neutral and inclusive language.

Christine: they have a motion to send to 2020 but didn't get in on time, so they're going to put in an amendment. Michael: If this motion passes how will it affect literature is already in use at meetings, posters, formats, etc.

Motion 14: To place an 8-year moratorium on the creation of new English language recovery literature are the WSC 2020 to WSC 2028, excluding any literature projects already in development.

Mike: explained their rationale for writing this motion. Mandy: 12 years before making more literature? Michael: Would the English production have any real benefit? WB: should say non-English as we're all foreign. It won't make a difference. It won't speed it up. The translations come from the countries to represent their language.

Motion 15: Instruct the World Board to develop a project plan for the 2022 WSC to produce an informative video about NA for PR services (committees) and online publication, approved by NAWS, where is briefly explained: 1) What is NA 2. How it works 3. How to contact NA.

Lilliana: How would it work and be updated and can they make them themselves. WB: project plan would fit. Eileen: PSA's. it's the same. Eric: different than a PSA is intended for professionals.

Motion 16: If any Motion of Proposal, in Content or Intent, has been submitted and failed to achieve consensus or adoption at two consecutive World Service Conferences, the previously proposed Content and Intent may not be suggested to the Fellowship in the Conference Agenda Report (CAR)/Conference Approval Track (CAT) or at the WSC for one entire conference cycle.

Tina: has the WB discussed a different solution. WB: Collaborates with the motion makers. Doesn't ask them to change or withdraw. WB appreciates the intent.

January 25, 2020

9:00-3:00 CAT

Motion 32 & 33 will be introduced first as they will affect the voting and discussion during the CAT portion at the WSC.

The WB has a powerpoint that does not follow the CAT. It jumps around all over the place. They have decided to make this about as complicated as possible by not being congruent with the CAT as it is in order. I read and went through the CAT. I tried to talk to the woman facilitating, but she shut me down. She apologized later. How neat. Anyway, going through everything anyway.

CAT items:

2020-2022 strategic plan and proposed project plans

2020-2022 proposed budget and budget explanation

WSC seating report inducing requests and recommendations

A motion related to zonal seating

Proposed revisions to A Guide to World Services in NA (GWSNA

2020-2022 travel reimbursement policy

Proposed GWSNA revisions, decision making and the new idea processes.

2020-2022 Objectives and Approaches:

Issue: Fellowship Development

Objective 1: Work in collaboration with the WSC, including regions and zones to better understand fellowship growth trends, identify potential & improve our Fellowship development strategies.

Objective 2: Better meet the demand for new meetings and service delivery through training and support of trusted servants.

Approach: Frame and conduct a dialog with Conference participants on trends in the growth of NA and areas of potential. Use insights gained to identify factors that drive success in Fellowship Development.

Issue: Communication and Outreach

Objective 3: Continue to evaluate the use of technology to improve communication with the Fellowship and better meet members' preferences for accessing information.

Objective 4: Consider formats for NAWS presentations that provide for greater dialog between NA World Services and members.

Approach: Consider the frequency of and media used for communication, and focus on ways to repurpose content and deliver in smaller chunks. Consider such means as: Improve use of the meeting finder app (to solicit input and information e.g. through surveys), Increase use of video and create a YouTube video archive consistent with our tradition of personal anonymity, hold more Zoom meetings open to all members, model use of technology that zones/regions can use for outreach to members. Through use of the app and in the messaging used to promote Service Day, PR Week, Sponsorship Day, and similar events, encourage members to "bring a buddy" in order to involve newcomers.

Issue: DRT/MAT

Objective 6: Support existing and potential members on DRT/MAT in successfully engaging with NA as a program of recovery.

Approaches: Further the discussion on how to address DRT/MAT.

Issue: Literature Development

Objective 7: Prioritize and develop new recovery literature to meet the changing needs of the Fellowship.

Objective 8: Prioritize and revise existing literature on a regularly scheduled basis.

Approaches: Update a minimum of one IP Per cycle.

Issue: Literature translation and Production

Objective 9: Find better ways to share challenges, ideas, and best practices among literature translation committee (LTC) members.

Objective 10: Increase the availability and utilization of improved resources for literature production.

Objective 11: More clearly define types of literature and service material, their audiences (e.g. whether intended for groups or members or service bodies), and related approval processes.

Approaches: Facilitate collaboration amount LTCs through use of existing tools, such as online Zoom meetings, bulletin boards, etc. Solicit ideas on how LTCs want to communicate and collaborate further. Gather input through the CAR survey, evaluating the types of literature and service material, existing categories, and related approval processes. Discuss the input received with the WSC and take action based on those conversation, including updating literature format and style as needed.

Issue: WSC

Objective 12: Reassess the mission of the Conference and ensure that the focus, format, timing, and use of resources before, during, and after the Conference best support its purposes.

Approaches: (Continues with 2018 WSC Discussion)

Issue: NAWS Operations

Objective 13: Communicate the connection between the pursuit of the Vision for NA service and the concept of self-support to raise understanding of and commitment of contributing both time and resources.

Approaches: Develop and implement a communication strategy with a quarterly focus and message. Use short stories, visuals, personal podcasts, and other methods to share successes in advancing the Vision for NA services.

Issue: World Board Development

Objective 14: Improve World Board monitoring and evaluation of progress on goals and objectives.

Objective 15: Improve effectiveness in the exchange of information between the World Board and Human Resource Panel.

Explanation is the same as 2018 cycle with continued work through 2022. References Objective 7 and writes it out again on Page 16.

SPAD: Continues to work on the project:

Batch 3 approximately 60 entries. April to July 2020. Batch 4 with approximately 65 entries. July to October 2020. Batch 5 with approximately 70 entries. October 2020 to January 2021. Batch 6 with approximately 80 entries. January to April 2021. Direct expense \$75,000.

New Recovery IPs:

Umbrella approach for IP and Tool Projects: Responses – don't know yet 12%, Disagree 6%, Agree 82%.
Umbrella approach: project plan focuses and looks at all of the potential focuses at once using results in the 2020 CAR. 17 IP ideas are in the CAR.

Revising existing recovery IPs. One in particular The Loner is outdated due to technology and social media. Addendum F lists all IPs and SPs. Asking the WSC 2020 to choose at least one IP for revision this cycle.

Issue Discussion Topics (IDTs):

Goes back to Objective 6. Two IDTs "Attracting Members to Service" and Carrying the NA message and Making NA Attractive." 2018 WSC. Board participants added "DRT/MAT assisted treatment as it Relates to NA afterwards and is in discussion phase.

Local Service Toolbox Project:

Back to Objective 1 and 2.
2020 They are releasing GSR Basics. Asking the WSC to prioritize the toolbox items. Hoping to wrap up by 2022.

Role of Zones Project:

Issue: WSC
Goes back to Objective 12. Same as above. Continue conversation.

Issue: Fellowship development
Goes back to Objective 1 and 2. Goes to Motion 16 from 2018 from Australia Region and Aotearoa NZ Region. Passed 93-15-3-0. Recommending a workshop 2020-2022 cycle

FIPT: Revision Project:

RE: Motions 3,4,5 p22-23

Projects Adopted for the 2018-2020 Cycle:

Straw poll of priorities 2018:
WSC of the future. New Daily Mediation Book. Mental Health/Illness IP, Training and Tools.
2020-2022 Cycle:
NA Way, Reaching Out, WSNA 38, Business Plan, Audit.

Budget and 2019 Actuals:

Four categories are within the budgeting information:
Literature Production and Distribution
WSC Support
Fellowship Development
Events

2019 Budget vs Actuals:

	Budget	Actuals	
Literature	38%	38%	\$ 3,630,878.00
WSC Support	22%	19%	\$ 1,827,872.00
Fellowship Development	35%	38%	\$ 3,589,811.00

Events

5%

4% \$ 387,325.00

They did not do as well in 2019 as they budgeted. 2020-2022 budget is projecting more realistic expense and income.

Motion 21: DRT/MAT

To continue IDT for DRT/MAT discussion because this issue needs continued discussion before WB feels comfortable moving forward creating recovery literature stating

Motion 22: Project plan for Local Service Toolbox.

Continue discussion on tools for members.

Motion 23: Zonal Seating. Will zones be choosing their delegate? Hammed mentioned Zones will be choosing for the workgroup.

Motion 24: FIPT.

Scope will be dependent on Motion 5 and not 3 and 4.

GWSNA Revisions.

Motion 32: To eliminate abstentions when voting or taking straw polls, for WSC 2020 only beginning after CAR-related discussion and decisions.

Moving away from Roberts Rule of order.

Motion 33: To adopt, for WSC 2020 only, the following approaches to New Idea Discussions. P 6 & 7 of the CAT.

Going back to FIPT Revision Project.

Christine: Stated we did not ask for WB to make a workgroup from 2018. Eric: Read his notes about the discussion about the FIPT during 2018 WSC. Christine: As verbally agreed. Eric: No question that the group was formed at the direction of the WSC and not the WB. Acting on behalf of the trustor and not the trustee.

Seating: Hammed: criteria for seating, WB and workgroup would be those who meet the criteria. Said WB did not NOT recommend someone, they will not discuss the regions that are not recommended. But they did put all the information on the report. Go to CoFac's and give them updated information if they want to add seating. 2018 Proposal seating criteria and the WB would follow that criteria. Julie: Enraged about their decisions on seating. There was a motion. Hammed: Workgroup before that conducting seating criteria. Eric: Changed from 2018 and prior, and now the WB is deciding who can be seated, and no longer a motion for our regions to vote on. Hammed: WSC gave them the trust to vet the regions. They put up their region recommendations. Eric: Regions make request, workgroup reviews, WB reviews, and then put it in the CAT. Now it's changed to WB making the motion. Eric: The board for this year, WB brought forth regions wanting to be seated by themselves. Hammed: Said the workgroup made the decisions. Laura: All the information is in the CAT and available. Hammed: Can bring in a new proposal to seat. Dave: Why would the board make a motion that they are not in favor. Laura: We aren't asking them to answer a rhetorical question. Julie: When they made recommendations, we didn't say to

make the WB state who should be seated. Laura: Process is different. End result: We bring a proposal to the WSC for those who want to be seated.

Done with the CAT.

Zone Information:

Laura is filling out the form requested by NAWS on our zone information. 1991 is the inception of the WSZF. One formal meeting at WSZF in January. We have informal meetings at WSLD and at the WSC. Will email all our information and put it on Loomio.

Back to CAT Motion: Has there been motions in the seating in the past? Eric: 2016 and 2018 have been motions and this year it's only motions in the CAT for the one's that are recommended by WB.

Mike: Request number of meetings and H&I;

Mandy Alaska H&I 9 panels Meetings 112 a week groups 57

Mo AZ 70 H*I 405 meetings 230 groups

Mike Central CA 316 meeting a week

Cal Inland 213 meetings 186 groups 32 H&I panels

Julie CMSR 332 Meetings a week.

Eric Northern Cal Eric 1550 meetings

Doug Pacific Cascade 250 weekly meetings 115 H&I

Region 220 Meeting a week 39 H&I

Rio Grande Big River River 183 week 40 H&I

Don San Diego 400 weekly

Vince Central Cascade 203 meetings 146 groups

Christine SoCal 1094 meeting 184 groups 607 H&I

Theo Washington Idaho 542 groups 871 meetings 175 H&I

2:28-6:00 Work Groups

Fellowship Development – Steve AZ

Reached out to different areas on how they do FD. Reached out on what's important. Translation hardships and developing relationships. Gave a written report. Dean: would like to see this expanded and adopted in our Zone and include in our guidelines. Michael: WSZF open up to members of regional H&I/P&I committees. Give opportunity to share best practices. Alaska fellowship development was amazing. Julie: We reach out to the committee and work with the zone. And someone would work with the local host region for fellowship development. Dedicated person to work with the host zone. Eric: The difference between Fellowship development compared to Alaska, and serve as a model, and what we've done this year. Retrain the trainers. Local fellowship come in and give content about Alaska. Get more involved in. Communicate the problems and solutions. We aren't relegated to just once a year. We could have more often discussions with FD. There is a need. Theo: H&I and PR connect is what Michael is doing in the presentation tomorrow. FD at formal meeting in January discussed with local region. We shouldn't dictate to the hosting region but discuss. Tina: Dave asked if we got good answers and questions for our FD. The workgroup provided useful information. Doesn't have to be a Nenana Alaska. Mo: Theo presentation is on our agenda at 2:00. Michael: Excited about FD. We don't always have to look rural. Tina: Nenana was amazing. But it had the same amount of members present. Served her region well. Dean: FD premise isn't going to highly developed areas but rather go to places that are in need. Highly populated areas have a lot of resources where FD is usually for those outliers that need our

help. Julie: 30 tribes in our region. We would have a focus. If a region identified an area that needs FD then we would go there. We have Native Americans that would be in need of FD. Dave: Left up to the hosting region and not insert our ideas. We should be open to their needs regardless. Eric: Nenana was a Star Wars moment. No answer really. Question of FD, SF can use some they are falling apart. People can't afford to live there and they're in the tenderloin. Christine: Fulfilled their roll was on the FD committee. Big River: His region is rural. First time ever hosting WSLD in Rio Grande. At their learning day having professional day and has been powerful. Can take the FD report as a template and use at his region. A tool. Opens doors and ideas. Dean: put on our website as an example of what we did and be a resource for others, and as a template like Big River states.

BMLT Presentation:

Doug: Discussed forth a motion about creating a zonal BMLT. Bringing on the guys who developed the BMLT. Steve: BMLT is already on there. Jonathan and Patrick: can stay on the server they're on or change servers. Jonathan: How will a zonal impact us. Data migration to new zonal server or stay on AZ server. Will reduce costs. Set up another Zone. A year they merged regions together to one server – many states are in one server. Painless process. Moving Florida to that server in a few months. Regional cost webhost \$180 three years. \$60 a year. One bill for all those regions. Art: large region. 24 areas they have their own server and dedicated web servants. Would they be better with Zonal and have SoCal too? Jonathan: Should have just one due to not being updated. Don: Root trusted servant? Person who gets up dated information. Or do we handle it at the regional level. They are on BMLT. How is the updates handled? Jonathan: Updating – every area has their own login and update their own areas, regions, etc. Some do it per meetings, ASC, or RSC's. They can only see their service body meetings. His position will be to give password info if lost. Don: They use data from BMLT type setting for their printed schedules from it. Jonathan: WordPress called bread, generates a PDF to print the schedules. If zone, points at zonal info only. Tina: BMLT at area 51, their PR hates it for updating. What can they recommend? Jonathan: Push down to ASC instead of RSC. So not so daunting. Tina: will they do training? Jonathan: Many are available to train and FB account where the web servants can help. Mike: Eliminate duplication of services. Pull down regions for the zone and not have to redo things and develop with a data dump? Jonathan: He wrote an aggregator called tomato and made it one server instead of 30 that were around the world. Can send to world and update to world services. We can slice and dice the information as we see fit. Christine: Tomato is root server? Jonathan: hosts tomato. Backups. But it also has a risk. Eric: BMLT everyone should use it. Whos' taking responsibility if the ASC sends it up to the RSC up to zone; does the aggregator only go up one way? Synchronization? Push back regarding who has to take care of it. Jonathan: never should have a synchronization issue. Zonal servers make the most sense. Hard to get trusted servants to administer these. It's extremely cost effective to have the BMLT.

Guidelines Work Group:

Art: passed out the guidelines. Showed all the changes. Taking questions. This became a mess and no one really read this on loomio and because a noisy event. Did not get finished. Fix what we agreed to and get back on loomio to continue work.

Technology Guidelines:

No report.

Budget:

They don't know how much money they have. Some aren't contributing, or just gave money. We do not have a report from last year.

Laura Workgroup:

Fellowship development workgroup will continue. Dean, Michael, Mandy, Theo, Sheryl. Need a chair: in the morning we meet and select a chair. Steve to remain Chair.

Guidelines Workgroup Needs to continue. Art, Dean, Eileen, Lilliana, Christine, Michael.

Tools & Technology Workgroup May need to continue due to BMLT.

Motions

#1) To implement a zonal BMLT server for all regions to have the opportunity to use throughout the zone. Cost is \$180 for the first three years.

Discussion.

Motion passed.

#2) To elect an IT chair to be single point of contact for BMLT implementation and zonal website maintenance, and work with workgroups in assisting with Zoom Meetings.

Discussion. Motion is amended: to remove zonal website maintenance, and work with workgroups in assisting with Zoom meetings. 11 yes, 2 no. Approved.

January 26, 2020

Fellowship Development Workgroup: Steve gave a report.

Guidelines Workgroup: Art gave a report.

Dean agreed to create a spreadsheet for Laura and Dave for their travel and accounting.

Facilitator and Alternant Facilitator two-year term, and elections will be next year.

Facilitator & Alt Facilitator to pay for Loomio and set it up in the IT and Web servant chairs name. We have not made the email yet for the zone. We need a zonal email for Loomio and Zoom. IT chair was requested to make a zonal email.

Technology Workgroup: Eric gave a report. Discussion on money. Gave budget of \$500 above Loomio. It's \$300 for Loomio. Eric to set up a zonal email. \$500 source and set up for BMLT, word press for zone website. Zoom \$120-\$150 per Don. Don: need to get him off his email. Set up new Zoom account with new emails. Also, he's not the web servant but will work with the Guidelines workshop group to make changes in the guidelines as Eric is an IT chair; and has a group he's working with to update the website as well.

Next Annual WSZF. Anyone looking to host? We have no one to host at this time. Someone can come to the WSC and bring a bid. Go back to our regions. They're in the guidelines for hosting.

California Collaboration: Laura asks for relationship with CA Collaboration. Discussion. Has four proposals to the body. Voting by Non-participating regions was requested for Vote #1 and Vote #3 from the CA Collaboration meeting:

5 of 6 non-participating regions are here.

Vote #1 Present to Zone for the non-participating regions would vote yes or no to use the facilitator. Discussion on this. Vote 11 yes, vote no 1. CA Collaboration.

All in favor: 5 -0-0 Passed

Vote #3 Ask the non-CA Collaboration zone members to allow CA collaboration to use Zoom and Loomio.

Discussion on this. Vote Yes 11, no 0, abstention 1. CA Collaboration.

All in Favor: 5-0-0 passed

Budget:

Laura – presenting the excel spreadsheet she made. Dean happy to make a spreadsheet and get all the records to make a new spreadsheet. Will give Dean 2018 going forward to date and get them to Dean. Dean has 2 weeks to get this information to the body. (create a budget with a variance report)

Dave: Guidelines report is due for from this body a report is due within 14 days of the end of the WSZF.

Bidding: Read the guidelines, and Tina available to give information on the WZSF being here, she will share on Loomio. Dave shared with Tina as the outgoing when the WSZF was in San Diego in 2019.

Laura: What do we need to bring a bid. Tina: Went to region gave pitch is would cost about the same to host as it is to send them to a WSZF. Checked for hotel block, location, accessibility, inexpensive to get to and from, and projected costs she saw; snacks, coffee, etc, and what the hotel was to give. Parking expense if applicable. Wifi. Fellowship night – even like the meeting and game night and dinner. Laura: how many people to set the rooms. Tina: Asked hotel for 25 in the room block, for all the delegates, fcs, an any interested people to just come visit. She called for extra people that decided to come e.g. Colorado. Room block Thurs, Fri, Sat, Sun. Asked for special rate for the entire week.

Funding for IT: Discussion. Tina: question on why this should be funding. Eric: Giving reasoning for funding, and what it depends on.

Look at funding the IT person. Look at the budget and the expenses to see what's going on; for all days. See if this can be done via budget. Revisit at WSLD.

Our Zone is going to try to do a zoom meeting. Having a meeting at WSC & WSLD. Discussion.

Close 1:10pm

